

Working together for Harlow

Environmental Health

Rikki Condon CPI Mortars Ltd 29 Mead Industrial Park Harlow Essex CM20 2SE Harlow Council

Civic Centre
The Water Gardens
Harlow
Essex CM20 1WG
www.harlow.gov.uk

Our Ref:

SA/18/02052

Your Ref:

Date:

27/03/18

Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 CPI Mortars Ltd, 29 Mead Industrial Park, Riverway, Harlow, Essex, CM20 2SE

Dear Rikki Condon

As part of our permit compliance inspection program I wish to confirm my visit on the 20th March 2018 to the above premises.

Please find enclosed an inspection report for the above installation.

I am pleased to confirm that the risk assessment result as reduced to `low risk` due to the improvements made to emission monitoring and dust control.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your assistance during the inspection.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this matter.

Yours sincerely

Steven Adams

Senior Environmental Health Officer

Tel: 01279 446105 Fax: 01279 446639

Steven.adams@harlow.gov.uk

Copy; CPI Mortars Ltd, Willow House, Strathclyde Business Park, Bellshill, ML4 3PB

Harlow District Council

Environmental Health Department The Water Gardens Harlow Essex. CM20 1WG



LA-PPC Inspection Report

General Information

| Inspection Type | Annual | |
|--|---|--|
| Local Authority | Harlow District Council | |
| Site Name and Address | CPI Mortars Ltd. 29 Mead Park, River Way, Harlow, Essex. CM20 2SE | |
| Installation Type | Mineral Drying and Cement Batching Installation | |
| Permit Reference | EPR3/18 CPI | |
| Site Representative | Rikki Condon | |
| Inspection Date | 20 March 2018 | |
| Report Date | 27 March 2018 | |
| Duration of Inspection | 2 hours | |
| Review of permit conditions undertaken | Not required at this time | |
| Regulator | Harlow Council, representative; Steven Adams | |

Recent History

| | Comments | Action |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Number of complaints received | None | None |
| Process/Installation changes | None | None |

Future Developments

| | Comments | Action |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Information relating to EPR | Operating under Process Guidance Notes 3/18(12) and 3/01(12) | For information |
| Other relevant information | The Council's Authorised Process public register now online http://www.harlow.gov.uk/environmental-public-register | For information |

Risk Assessment

| Risk Assessment Score | Low | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Summary of changes | Medium risk to low risk due to emissions and dust control improvements being made. | |

Summary of Inspection

 Regular checks of the filter bags and improved dust control including staff training and road sweeper as resulted in no complaints received during 2017/18.

Actions Required

| Reference to any breach of condition (or not) and other compliance issues as necessary | None |
|--|------|
| Other relevant information | None |

Inspection Notes

| Weather Conditions | Light rain | |
|--------------------|------------|--|
|--------------------|------------|--|

| Unique/Abnormal Site Hazards to take into consideration. | Adjacent to an aquatic environment |
|--|------------------------------------|
|--|------------------------------------|

Compliance Checking

| Inspection List | ✓,×or N/A | Condition or observation | Action Required |
|---|------------|--|--|
| mapeonian Elat | , a or tox | details | //onon resquired |
| Conditions | | | |
| No visible emissions beyond site boundary | ✓ | No visual emissions during inspection. | None |
| 2. Monitoring in accordance with Table 1; | | | |
| Operator observations | ✓ | Daily log sheet records requiring once a day monitoring up to date. | None |
| Visible emissions | ✓ | | |
| Droplets, fume and smoke | × | Records show only once a day monitoring. | Observations to be recorded on startup and twice daily |
| Sand conveyors | * | Daily log sheet records requiring once a day monitoring up to date | None |
| Particulate matter <50mg | ✓ | Extractive Monitoring Carried out on 10 th July 2017 reported | None |

| - | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|--|---|
| | | | emissions 8.1 mg/m ³ | |
| Su | lphur <0.1% records | × | Not available at the time of inspection. | Please supply details of the Ultra35 Certificate of Quality for the year 17/18 including Sulphur content. |
| 3. | Plant maintained and calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | √ | Weekly, monthly and 6 monthly maintenance schedule records up to date. Annual service dated 02/03/2018. | None |
| 4. | Monthly filter bag Inspection | √ | Recorded every 7 days | None |
| 5. | Fine powdered materials only stored in silos? | √ | Satisfactory | None |
| 6. | Correct procedure in place for tanker loading and unloading of cement? Loading rate, connections, displaced air backvented via filtration system. Records? | √ | Written procedures confirming delivery drivers fully trained, bulk delivery log sheet recording checks at start to finish and one intermediate time. | None |
| 7. | Silos not overfilled – overfilling alarm checked? | - 1 | Silo safety check carried out weekly | None |
| 8. | Displaced air from pneumatic transfer shall pass through abatement prior to emission to air | ✓ | Satisfactory | None |
| 9. | Pressure relief valve checks | √ | Checked and recorded every 7 days | None |
| 10. | Undried sand stored in suitable storage bays or discharged directly into hoppers | 1 | Improved spillage control in place | None |
| 11. | Dusty waste materials stored in suitable 3 sided storage bays? Suppression management techniques employed to minimize dust emissions? | 1 | Waste now stored within the 3 sided bay in jumbo polypropylene bags awaiting collection | None |
| 12. | Unused stock bays. Suppression management techniques employed to minimize dust emissions? | √ | No unused stock bays | None |
| | | | l | l- |

| 13. Un-dried sand conveyed using fully enclosed conveyor. All transfer points have wind protection and spillages removed promptly | √ | Improved spillage control in place. | None |
|---|----------|---|------|
| 14. Vehicles carrying dusty products fully enclosed/sheeted when arriving/leaving site? | ✓ | Open back vehicles fully sheeted at time of inspection. | None |
| 15. Onsite roadways? Consolidated surface? In good repair and kept clean? | √ | Improved dust control in place including 3x weekly road sweeper | None |
| 16. Vehicles shall not track material from site onto the highway. | ✓ | Improved dust control in place including 3x weekly road sweeper | None |
| 17. Building maintained so as to prevent visible dust emissions | √ | Building maintained to satisfaction. | None |
| 18. Test and monitoring records available? | √ | The environmental recording system appears to be comprehensive and largely up to date. | None |
| 19. Staff training records? | ✓ | In house procedures covering all staff Additional training in dust control undertaken by all staff. | None |
| 20 BAT? | √ | Yes | None |
| 21 Changes notified? | 1 | No proposed changed scheduled. | None |

| Risk Rating | CPI March 2018 | |
|---|----------------|--|
| 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential | | |
| Risk Rating | Score | |
| Category 2 PG3 | 20 | |

| 2 - Progress w | ith Upgrading |
|----------------|---------------|
|----------------|---------------|

| Status of Upgrading | Score |
|---------------------|-------|
| None outstanding | 0 |

3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors

| Proximity to Emission Source | Score |
|---|-------|
| Medium sensitivity receptor <100 metres x2 for cement process | 12 |

4 - Other Targets

| Proximity to Emission Source | Score |
|---|-------|
| No air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor | 0 |

5 - Compliance Assessment

| Scale of Non-Compliance | Possible Score | Score Awarded |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BATNEEC condition | 0 | 0 |
| Incident leading to a justified complaint | 5 per incident | 0 |
| Minor breach of authorisation not leading to formal action | 10 per incident | 10 |
| Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution | 15 per incident | 0 |
| Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per incident | 0 |
| Total (Max. 50) | | 10 |

6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

| Criterion | Score |
|---|-------|
| All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation? | 0 |
| Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | 0 |
| Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring? | 0 |
| Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? | 0 |
| Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site? | 0 |
| All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required? | 0 |
| Total score | 0 |

7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility

| Criterion | Score |
|---|-------|
| Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation? | 0 |
| Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures? | 0 |
| Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company? | 0 |
| Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities? | 0 |
| Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place? | 0 |
| Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 |
| Total | -5 |

8 - Determination of regulatory effort from scores

| Actual score = 37 Less than 40 Category = Low |
|---|
|---|