

Environmental Health

David Bull Aggregate Industries Concrete Station Approach Harlow Mill Harlow Essex CM20 2EL

By email

Harlow Council Civic Centre The Water Gardens Harlow Essex CM20 1WG www.harlow.gov.uk

Our Ref: 18/SA/00230

Your

Ref:

Date:

11/04/2018

Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 Aggregate Industries Concrete, Station Approach, Harlow, Essex. CM20 2EL

Dear David Bull

As part of our permit compliance inspection program I wish to confirm my visit on the 28th March 2018 to the above premises.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your assistance during the inspection.

Please find enclosed an inspection report for the above installation.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this matter.

Yours sincerely

Steven Adams

Senior Environmental Health Officer

Tel: 01279 446105 Fax: 01279 446639

steven.adams@harlow.gov.uk

Harlow District Council

Environmental Health Department The Water Gardens Harlow Essex. CM20 1WG



LA-PPC Inspection Report

General Information

Inspection Type	Full
Local Authority	Harlow District Council
Site Name and Address	Aggregate Industries Concrete
Installation Type	PG 3/1 (12) Concrete Batching Plant
Permit Reference	EPR/B/3.1/Aggregate Industries
Site Representative Seen	Dave Bull
Inspection Date	28 th March 2018
Report Date	11 th April 2018
Duration of Inspection	>2 hours
Review of permit conditions undertaken	2012
Regulator	Harlow Council, representative; Steven Adams

Recent History

	Comments	Action
Number of complaints received	None	None
Process/Installation changes	None	None

Future Developments

	Comments	Action
Information relating to EPR	Operating under Process Guidance Notes [PG3/1(12)]	For information
Other relevant information	The Council's Authorised Process public register now online	For information
	http://www.harlow.gov.uk/environmental-public-register	

Risk Assessment

Risk Assessment Score	0
Summary of changes	Reduced Fee Activities risk assessment

Summary of Inspection

The installation managed to a good standard, no complaints received during the period under review.

Actions Required

Reference to any breach of condition (or not) and other compliance issues as necessary	None
Other relevant information	None

Weather Conditions	Wet

Compliance Checking

Co	ondition	√,× or N/A	Observation	Action
1.	No visible emissions beyond site boundary	✓	No visual emissions during inspection	None
2.	Monitoring in accordance with Table 1;			
Oı	perator observations	✓	Daily log sheet records updated	None
Si	lo inlets and outlets	1	twice daily.	140110
Di	oplets and persistent mist	×	Records show twice a day monitoring.	Observations to be recorded on startup and twice daily
3.	Plant maintained in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.	✓	Plant serviced twice yearly, last service 9 th October 2017	None

4.	Fine powdered materials only stored in silos?	✓	Checked and recorded daily	None
5.	Correct procedure in place for tanker loading and unloading of cement? Loading rate, connections, displaced air back vented via Wam silo filters.	✓	Written procedures in place. Loading/unloading fully monitored.	None
6.	Silos not overfilled – overfilling alarm checked?	√	Checked and recorded daily	None
7.	Automatic stop when over pressurisation occurs.	1	Checked weekly and serviced twice yearly.	None
8.	Displaced air from pneumatic transfer shall pass through abatement prior to emission to air	✓	Satisfactory	None
9.	Dusty materials stored in suitable storage bays? Suppression management techniques employed to minimize dust emissions?	✓	Satisfactory	None
10.	All dusty material, including waste conveyed using fully enclosed conveyor. All transfer points have wind protection	1	Satisfactory	None
11.	Vehicles carrying dusty products fully enclosed/sheeted when arriving/leaving site?	1	Satisfactory	None
12.	Onsite roadways? Consolidated surface? In good repair and kept clean?	✓	Surfaces in good condition however poor surface drainage system.	None

13. Vehicles shall not track material from site onto the highway.	✓	Satisfactory	None
14. Building maintained so as to prevent visible dust emissions, spray bars in place?	1	Satisfactory	None
15. Test and monitoring records available? Manufacturer's instruction available?	~	Records up to date and available for inspection, interventions recorded.	None
16. Staff training records?	1	In house training to ISO 1400 standard covering all staff. All staff recently took part in a silo management course.	None
Housekeeping	✓	No excessive build ups spillages	None

1 - Compliance Assessment

Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Score	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific permit condition or of the general/residual BAT condition.	10 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*.	5 points per incident	0
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action.	10 points per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution,	15 points per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice.	20 points per incident	0
	Total (Max. 50):	0

Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion		ssible Sc	Score	
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0
(D) Does the Operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the Regulator on request?	0	5	0	0
(E) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0	0
			Total:	0

These aspects relate to the operator's performance within the twelve months immediately preceding the assessment or review of the assessment. Failure to monitor to the degree required or to forward documents on time more than twelve months ago should be excluded.

3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility

Criterion		Possible Score			
		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to the air?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	0	0	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	5	
(D) Does the Operator maintain a record of staff training and instruction and make it available to the Regulator on request?	0	5	0	5	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place and working effectively?	9	0	0	0	
			Total:	0	

4 - Determination of Regulatory Effort from Scores

Actual score = 0	Score band = <30	Category = Low
------------------	------------------	-----------------------