SJG Environmental Limited Edwards Centre The Horsefair Hinkley Leicestershire LE10 0AN



Telephone : (01902) 850045 Mobile : 07831 195131

> **Environmental Protection Act** Pollution Prevention & Control

## PARTICULATE EMISSION TEST (BS EN 13284-1:2002)

on

## **AGGREGATE DRYING PLANT BAG FILTER EXHAUST**

for

**CPI MORTARS LTD 29 MEAD PARK RIVERWAY** HARLOW ESSEX CM20 2SE

Tested By: A followed

A. Yelland, MCERTS Level 2 MM 02 130

Date Of Test : 22.03.16

Report No: 2320

SJG Environmental Limited Registered in England No. 3878034 Registered Office Edwards Centre The Horsefair Hinckley Leicestershire LE10 0AN **NOTE:** The level of emission is specific to the date and times noted in this report. This does not guarantee that the pollutant level from the process equipment will not exceed that measured outside the stated sampling period.

## Contents

1) Summary of Results	Page	2
2) Comments	Page	2
3) Method	Page	3-4
4) Process Conditions	Page	5
5) Sampling Results	Page	5
6) Calculations	Page	6-9
7) Sample Blank	Page	9
8) Velocity and Flow Data	Page	10
9) Sampling Conditions	Page	10
10) Weighing Results	Page	11
11) Main Conditions for Compliance with BS ISO 9096	Page	12

## 1 - Summary of Results

Determined	Units	Results	Limit
Particulate Concentration (STP)	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	19.9	50
Mass Emission (STP)	kg/hr	0.62	-
Stack Temperature	°C	71	-
Gas Velocity	m/s	16.86	-
Stack Volume Flow Rate (Actual)	m³/hr	38615	-
Stack Volume Flow Rate (STP)	m³/hr	30775	-

All results are reported at reference conditions of 273K, 101.3kPa, wet gas.

## 2 - Comments

Two particulate tests were carried out, under continuous operating conditions, to assess the emission concentration in the exhaust gases. The sample time of each test was 32 minutes. The mean particulate loading was 19.9  $mg/m^3$  at reference conditions. This value is below the specified emission limit of 50  $mg/m^3$ .

The tests were performed in accordance with BS EN 13284-1:2002, Stationary source emissions - Determination of low range mass concentration of dust - Manual gravimetric method.

Full test data demonstrating procedural compliance with BS EN 13284-1 for total particulate monitoring is provided in section 11.

## 3 - Method

The work carried out was, as far as was reasonably practical, in accordance with BS EN 13284-1.

Monitoring of total particulates involved isokinetic sampling using the Apex Instruments test equipment.

Isokinetic flow means that sample gases laden with particulates are drawn off at the same velocity as the free stream velocity in the flue. Isokinetic sampling thus avoids possible inertial effects of particulates approaching the vicinity of the inlet nozzle which may result in significant error.

The Apex Instruments test equipment was designed to meet the sampling requirements of US EPA Method 5 and with a modified nozzle design, meets the sampling requirements of BS EN 13284-1. The principle of the standard is to draw a known volume of dust laden gas isokinetically through a filter. The weight gain on the filter, after sampling, divided by the gas sample volume equates to the particulate concentration, which in turn can be used to calculate a mass emission.

The test equipment is inspected prior to use and it's calibration status observed. This includes: **Pitot Tube** - All pitot tubes are checked for damage, alignment and that there are no blockages. Manometer - Check of oil levels, connectors and orientation level.

**Thermocouple** - Temperature is measured using k type thermocouples. Each thermocouple is inspected for calibration and damage. Digital temperature meters are used in conjunction with k type thermocouples which are also checked for calibration dates.

**Gas meter** - The calibration of the gas meter is checked before and after sampling using a critical orifice.

**Nozzles** - All nozzles used have been constructed in accordance with BS EN 13284-1. Each nozzle is checked for damaged and measured using a vernier caliper on at least 3 planes. Non conforming nozzles will be rejected.

**Balance** - A Mettler Toledo balance is used to weigh filters. It is calibrated yearly by the manufacturer and checked daily by in-house weights.

Filters - Pall quartz membrane filters with a collection efficieny of >99.5% at 0.3 microns.

### **Filter Preparation**

Filters are pre-conditioned before arrival on site. The filters are dried in an oven at 180°C for a period of at least one hour and then placed to cool in a dessicator for at least four hours. The filters are then weighed on a five figure balance and placed in individual transport containers. Spare filters are prepared to obtain blank values.

#### **Sampling Location**

Prior to sampling a pressure and temperature survey, using a pitot static tube, a micromanometer, a digital thermometer and a nickel-chromium/nickel-aluminium thermocouple, is carried out to check whether the flow conditions meet with the requirements of BS EN 13284-1. From this initial survey sample locations, isokinetic flow rates, nozzle size, and sample period can be worked out.

A leak check is carried out before and after sampling to confirm all the suction is drawn through the nozzle.

### Sampling

With the required isokinetic flow rates known the sample probe is inserted into the stack at 90° to the gas flow, this is to stop any particulate matter impinging on the filter before sampling. Allow the filter head and probe to obtain the stack gas temperature. Note initial gas meter volume, start the suction device and timer and set the correct flowrate for isokinetic sampling with the nozzle now facing parallel to the gas flow. Sample for the planned duration and number of sample points, recording all the necessary data for final calculations. Switch off suction device, timer and record final gas meter volume. Remove the probe from stack, carry out leak test then remove filter and place in storage container. Wash any residual particulates upstream of the filter with water and acetone into an appropriate beaker.

Repeat all of the above procedures to obtain duplicate samples.

#### Sample Weighing

The used filters are dried in an oven at 160°C for at least one hour and then desicated and weighed as before. The water/acetone washings are first evaporated, without boiling then dried and weighed as above. The total particulate mass is the sum of the differential filter weight added to the differential water/acetone rinsings weight.

## 4 - Process Conditions

#### Table A

Arrestment Plant:	Bag filter
Particulate Type:	Sand
Plant Loading:	Continuous - Sand @ 35tph.
Appearance of plume:	Steam

# 5 - Sampling Results

#### Table B

	Test Run No. 1.	Test Run No. 2.	Average
Time of Test:	13.26 - 13.58	14.04 - 14.36	
Sampling Duration: (mins)	32	32	
Gas Temperature (°C)	71	72	71
Mean Velocity at Sampling Points: (m/s)	17.82	17.93	17.87
Gas Flow Rate at STP (1): (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	522.0	525.4	523.7
Particulate Loading at STP (1): (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	17.90	21.85	19.87
Particulate at Normalised Conditions (2): (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )			

(1) Particulate stated at 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour.

(2) State normalised conditions (eg 11% O<sub>2</sub>, etc).

# 6 - Calculations Sample Run No. 1

<b>On-site measurements</b>					
O2 = 18.8 %	CO2 =	1.2 %	N2 =	80.0 %	
Bws = 0.04	Ps = 1	01.7 kPa	Ts =	344.0 K	
$Md = Molecular weigMd = (0.44 x %CO_2)= 28.94 g/g molecular$	$+(0.32 \text{ x }\%\text{O}_2)$		N <sub>2</sub> )		
Ms = Molecular weig= 28.51 g/g me		/g mole)			
Stack gas velocity at sa	mple points				
$V = Kp \times Cp \times \sqrt{Ts}$	s.ΔP/Ps.Ms)		-	4.07	
= 17.82 m/s				162.5 average $\Delta p$ at sample plan	ne
Stack gas volume at sa	mpla paints		Cp =	1.00 pitot tube coefficient	
$Q = V \times A \times 60$	inple points		A =	0.64 area of stack $m^2$	
$Q = \sqrt{x} A x 00^{3}$ = 680.0 m <sup>3</sup> /mi	n		A -	0.04 area of stack in	
– 080.0 m /m	11				
Volume of water vapou	ir collected, sta	ndard condit	tions $(m^3)$		
Vwstd = 0.00124  x Vlc	ii concercu, seu		Vlc =	16 ml	
$= 0.02 \text{ m}^3$					
Volume of gas metered	, standard cond	litions (m <sup>3</sup> )			
Volume of gas metered Vmstd = $2.695 \times \text{Vm x}$ (			Tm=	20 °C	
$Vmstd = \underline{2.695 \text{ x Vm x (}}$				20 °C 0.5932 m <sup>3</sup>	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times (10^{-1})$	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u>		Vm=		
$Vmstd = \underline{2.695 \text{ x Vm x (}}$	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u>		Vm=	$0.5932 \text{ m}^3$	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times (10^{-1})$	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u>		Vm = Pa = $\Delta H =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times (10^{-1})$	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm)		Vm = Pa = $\Delta H =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd)	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm =$ $Pa =$ $\Delta H =$ $Yd =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369 Dry total flow of stack	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b>	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd = $ <sup>3</sup> /min)	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> <u>1 - Bwo)</u>	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ <sup>3</sup> /min) Ts =	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369 Dry total flow of stack Qstd = <u>Q x Ps(2.695)(</u>	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> <u>1 - Bwo)</u> 73	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ <sup>3</sup> /min) Ts =	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936 71.0 °C	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369 Dry total flow of stack Qstd = <u>Q x Ps(2.695)(</u> Ts +2	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> <u>1 - Bwo)</u> 73	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ <sup>3</sup> /min) Ts =	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936 71.0 °C	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) $= 0.5208 \text{ m}^{3}$ $Moisture \text{ content}$ $Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd)$ $= 0.0369$ $Dry \text{ total flow of stack}$ $Qstd = Q \times Ps(2.695)($ (7s + 2)) $= 522 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{min}$ $Percent \text{ isokinetic}$	<u>Pa + (ΔH/102))</u> Γ + Tm) +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> <u>1 - Bwo)</u> 73 n	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ $Yd =$ $Ts = Ps =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936 71.0 °C	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) = 0.5208 m <sup>3</sup> Moisture content Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd = 0.0369 Dry total flow of stack Qstd = <u>Q x Ps(2.695)(</u> Ts +2 = 522 m <sup>3</sup> /mi	$\frac{Pa + (\Delta H/102))}{\Gamma + Tm}$ +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> $\frac{1 - Bwo)}{73}$ n $\frac{s + 273} \times Vmstd$	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ $Yd =$ $Ts = Ps =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936 71.0 °C 101.7 kPa	
$Vmstd = 2.695 \times Vm \times ($ (7) $= 0.5208 \text{ m}^{3}$ $Moisture content$ $Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd)$ $= 0.0369$ $Dry \text{ total flow of stack}$ $Qstd = Q \times Ps(2.695)($ (7) Ts +2) $= 522 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{mi}$ $Percent \text{ isokinetic}$ $\%I = (6.184 \times 10^{5})(Ts)$	$\frac{Pa + (\Delta H/102))}{\Gamma + Tm}$ +Vmstd) <b>gas, standard c</b> $\frac{1 - Bwo)}{73}$ n $\frac{s + 273} \times Vmstd$	<u>x Yd</u>	$Vm = Pa = \Delta H = Yd =$ $Yd =$ $Ts = Ps =$	0.5932 m <sup>3</sup> 101.7 kPa 27.5 mm H <sub>2</sub> O 0.936 71.0 °C 101.7 kPa	

# 6 - Calculations Sample Run No. 1 Cont.

#### Filter & rinsing weights sample no. 1

weight gain on filters =	9.32 mg
weight of acetone wash =	mg
total weight gain (M) =	9.32 mg

### Particulate concentration (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

C = M/Vmstd

M = 9.32 mg

= 17.90 mg/m<sup>3</sup>

### Particulate emission rate (kg/hr)

E = (C x Qstd x 60)/1000= 0.56 kg/hr

# 6 - Calculations Sample Run No. 2

	asurements 18.8 %	CO2 =	1.2 %	N10 —	<u> 20 0 0/</u>
	18.8 % 0.04		1.2 % 101.7 kPa		80.0 % 344.5 K
Dw2 –	0.04	13-	101./ KI a	15 -	JTT.J K
Md = Md	olecular weigh	t of gas at D	GM (g/g mole)	)	
	-	-	$D_2$ ) + (0.28 x %)		
=	28.94 g/g mol	e			
Ms = Mc	olecular weigh	t of gas wet	(g/g mole)		
=	28.54 g/g mol	e			
Stack gas v	elocity at san	ple points			
-	o x Cp x √(Ts.	$\Delta P/Ps.Ms)$			4.07
=	17.93 m/s				164.5 average $\Delta p$ at sample pla
				Cp =	1.00 pitot tube coefficient
Stack gas v	olume at sam	ple points			
-	x A x 60			A =	0.64 area of stack $m^2$
=	684.3 m <sup>3</sup> /min				
Volume of	water vapour	collected, s	tandard condi	tions (m <sup>3</sup> )	
Vwstd = 0.0	-	,		Vlc =	16 ml
= 0	.0195 m <sup>3</sup>				
Volume of	ass metered	standard co	onditions (m <sup>3</sup> )		
	<u>595 x Vm x (P</u>			Tm=	20 °C
· 111504 <u>210</u>		+ Tm)	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>		$0.6068 \text{ m}^3$
	(1	· 1111)			101.7 kPa
= 0	.5327 m <sup>3</sup>				$28 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$
- 0	.5527				0.936
				ru –	0.950
Moisture c					
	wstd/(Vwstd +	Vmstd)			
= 0	.0353				
0				2	
	ow of stack g	as, standard	l conditions (n	n³/min)	
Dry total fl	0		l conditions (n		71.5 °C
Dry total fl	<b>ow of stack g</b> <u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u> Ts +27	<u>- Bwo)</u>	l conditions (n	Ts =	71.5 °C 101.7 kPa
<b>Dry total fl</b> Qstd = <u>Q</u>	<u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u>	<u>- Bwo)</u> 3	l conditions (n	Ts =	
<b>Dry total fl</b> Qstd = <u>Q</u>	<u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u> Ts +27 525.4 m <sup>3</sup> /min	<u>- Bwo)</u> 3	l conditions (n	Ts =	
Dry total fl Qstd = <u>Q</u> = Percent iso	<u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u> Ts +27 525.4 m <sup>3</sup> /min kinetic	<u>- Bwo)</u> 3		Ts = Ps =	
<b>Dry total fl</b> Qstd = <u>Q</u> = <b>Percent iso</b> %I = <u>(6.</u>	<u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u> Ts +27 525.4 m <sup>3</sup> /min <b>kinetic</b> .184x10 <sup>5</sup> )(Ts -	<u>- Bwo)</u> 3 +273) x Vms		Ts = Ps =	101.7 kPa
Dry total fl Qstd = $\underline{Q}$ = Percent iso $\%I = \frac{(6.)}{Ps}$	<u>x Ps(2.695)(1</u> Ts +27 525.4 m <sup>3</sup> /min kinetic	<u>- Bwo)</u> 3 +273) x Vms		Ts = Ps =	101.7 kPa

## 6 - Calculations Sample Run No. 2 Cont.

#### Filter & rinsing weights sample no. 2

weight gain on filters =	11.64 mg
weight of acetone wash =	mg
total weight gain $(M) =$	11.64 mg

#### Particulate concentration (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

C = M/Vmstd

M = 11.64 mg

 $= 21.85 \text{ mg/m}^3$ 

### Particulate emission rate (kg/hr)

E = (C x Qstd x 60)/1000= 0.69 kg/hr

## 7 - Sample Blank

An overall sample blank was taken after the measurement series, following the sampling procedure in the methodology without starting the suction device and keeping the blank in the duct for 15 minutes with the sampling nozzle 180° from the direction of flow. This leads to an estimation of the dispersion of results related to the whole procedure.

weight gain on filters =0.00007 mgweight of acetone wash =mgtotal weight gain (M) =0.00007 mg

#### Particulate concentration (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

C = M/	Vmstd	M =	0.07 mg
=	0.13 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		

# 8 - On Site Velocity and Flow Data

Company	CPI MORTARS LTD	Stack Diameter	0.90	m
Site	29 MEAD PARK	Area	0.64	m <sup>2</sup>
Location	AGGREGATE DRYING PLANT	Barometric Pressure	101.7	kPa
Job No	2320	Stack Pressure	0.03	kPa
Operators	AJY/MJR	Pitot Tube Coefficient	0.997	

Preliminary readings taken before sampling						
	Pitot Traverse B					
Pitot	$\Delta P$	Temp	$\Delta P$	Temp		
Settings	ра	°C	pa	°C		
1	168	71	117	71		
2	164	71	126	71		
3	136	71	134	71		
4	127	71	127	71		
5	114	71	116	71		
6	117	71	138	71		
7	132	71	156	71		
8	145	71	175	71		
9	176	71	184	71		
10	185	71	201	71		

av temp (K)=((average temp traverse A+average temp traverse B)/2)+273	344
av press (Pa)=((average press traverse A+average press traverse B)/2)	147

Suitability of sampling positions & Required No. of sample points	Actual Stack Conditions	
Permitted highest to lowest pressure range = 9:1	1.8 : 1	
Negative pressure	Not permitted	
Differential pressure minimum > 5 Pa	114	
No. of sampling points	4	

# 9 - Sampling Conditions

	Sample Run No. 1			Sample Run No. 2		
Sample	Stack	Velocity	Nozzle	Stack	Velocity	Nozzle
Position	Temp °C	Pressure ΔP (Pa)	Area mm <sup>-</sup>	Temp °C	Pressure ΔP (Pa)	Area mm <sup>-</sup>
0.15D	71	164	19.6	72	166	19.6
0.85D	71	176	19.6	72	178	19.6
0.15D	71	126	19.6	71	127	19.6
0.85D	71	184	19.6	71	187	19.6

# **10 - Weighing Results**

The below filters and acetone rinsings were weighed on a balance in a temperature controlled room with corrections made for differences in atmospheric pressure. Control parts and blank filters are used to confirm accuracy of weighings.

		Weight			Sample	%	
Sample		gms		time at each	weight		
Run No.1.	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)	gain	
Filter	8	0.05856	0.06788	0.00932	8.0	15.9%	
Acetone							
		Total	weight =	0.00932			
		Weight			Sample		
Sample			gms		time at each		
Run No.2.	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)		
Filter	9	0.05831	0.06995	0.01164	8.0	20.0%	
Acetone							
		Total	weight =	0.01164			
Weight					Sample		
Sample		gms		time at each			
Blank	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)		
Filter	10	0.05849	0.05856	0.00007	n/a	0.1%	
Acetone							
	Total weight = 0.00007						

## 11 - Main conditions for compliance with BS EN 13284-1:2002

The following requirements must be met:

#### **Preliminary Velocity Survey**

	Pass	Fail
No negative flow at sampling points	*	
Direction of gas flow within 15° of flue axis	*	
Pitot-static pressure differential greater than 5 Pa (3m/s)	*	
Ratio of highest to lowest pitot-static readings less than 9:1	*	

#### Sampling procedure

Sampling plane was corectly positioned	*
	*
Sampling centroids of equal area	Ŷ
Nozzle was facing upstream to within $\pm 10^{\circ}$	*
Leak check performed	*
Constant 'at' during cumulative sampling	*

#### **Post Sampling Operations**

Leak test performed	*	
Isokinetic rate 95 % to 115 %	*	
		I
Samples achieved stable weights	*	

Note : A single tick in the "fail" column indicates that this test does not comply with the full provisions of BS EN 13284-1:2023. Due to site/sampling locations it is not always practically possible for all the conditions to be met. Best practical means are employed to try and achieve a representative result.