SJG Environmental Limited Edwards Centre The Horsefair Hinkley Leicestershire LE10 0AN

Telephone: (01902) 850045

Mobile: 07831 195131

Environmental Protection Act Pollution Prevention & Control

PARTICULATE EMISSION TEST (BS EN 13284-1:2002)

on

AGGREGATE DRYING PLANT BAG FILTER EXHAUST

for

CPI MORTARS LTD
29 MEAD PARK
RIVERWAY
HARLOW
ESSEX CM20 2SE

Tested By: A. Tolland. Date Of Test: 09.05.18

Date Of Report: 13.05.18

Report No: 2799

MCERTS Level 2 Team Leader (MM 02 130)

A. Yelland

SJG Environmental Limited Registered in England No. 3878034
Registered Office Edwards Centre The Horsefair Hinckley Leicestershire LE10 0AN

CON	CONTENTS	
EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1. BA	ACKGROUND INFORMATION	4
2. MO	ONITORING PROTOCOL	4
2.1	Test method and references	4
2.2	Sampling procedure	4
2.3	Sampling equipment	5
2.4	Preparation for sampling	5
	2.4.1 Filter preparation	5
	2.4.2 Sampling location	5
2.5	Sample collection	6
2.6	Analysis of results	6
2.7	Calculation of results	7
3. Q	UALITY ASSURANCE	8
3.1	Location	8
3.2	Methods	8
3.3	Test team	8
4. O	N-SITE SUMMARY/MEASURMENTS	9
4.1	Preliminary stack survey	10
4.2	Leak check resulst	11
5. S.	AMPLING RECORDS	12
5.1	Process conditions	12
5.2	Sampling results	12
5.3	Calculations	13-16
5.4	Sample blank	17
5.5	Sampling Conditions	18
5.6	Weighing Results	18
6. U	NCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS	19-20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EMISSIONS SUMMARY							
Determined	Units	Results	Uncertainty	Limit			
			+/-				
Particulate Concentration (STP)	mg/m³	10.37	0.31	50			
Mass Emission (STP)	kg/hr	0.32	9.62	-			
Stack Temperature	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	65	-	-			
Gas Velocity	m/s	16.41	-	-			
Stack Volume Flow Rate (Actual)	m³/hr	37578	-	-			
Stack Volume Flow Rate (STP)	m³/hr	30740	-	-			

All results are reported at reference conditions of 273K, 101.3kPa, wet gas.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING TIMES							
Determined		Sampling Date	Sampling Times	Sampling	g Duration		
Total Particulate Matter Run 1		09.05.18	13.35 - 14.07	32	minutes		
Total Particulate Matter Run 2		09.05.18	14.15 - 14.47	32	minutes		
Preliminary Stack Traverse		09.05.18	13.30		_		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROCESS DETAILS				
Determined Process Details				
Process description AGGREGATE DRYING PLANT				
Continuous or Batch	Continuous - Sand @ 35tph.			
Particulate type	Sand			
Abatement	Bag filter			
Appearance of plume	No visible discharge.			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING METHODS							
Determined Method Technical Limit of Calculated							
		Procedure	Detection	MU +/-%			
TPM	BS EN 13284-1	EL18	0.14	13.6%			
Velocity	BS EN ISO 16911-1	EL20	-	-			
Volumetric Flow	BS EN ISO 16911-1	EL20	-	-			

1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Particulate emission testing was undertaken by SJG Environmental Limited, on the sand drying plant exhaust at CPI Mortars Limited, Harlow site.

The purpose of the emission testing was to ensure compliance with the requirements of the permit issued by the Local Authorithy under The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010.

2. MONITORING PROTOCOL

2.1 Test Method and references

Isokinetic sampling of the contained emission sources was undertaken using the APEX Instruments Inc Method Five isokinetic sampling apparatus in accordance with the main procedural requirements within the following British Standards and Technical Guidance Notes:-

- * BS EN 13284-1:2002 Stationary source emissions. Dertermination of low range mass concentration of dust.
- * Environment Agency Technical Guidance Document (Monitoring) M1 Sampling requirements for monitoring stack emissions to air from industrial installations; and
- * Environment Agency Technical Guidance Document (Monitoring) M2 Monitoring of Stack Emissions to Air.

2.2 Sampling procedure

The work carried out was, as far as was reasonably practical, in accordance with BS EN 13284-1:2002.

Isokinetic flow means that sample gases laden with particulates are drawn off at the same velocity as the free stream velocity in the flue. Isokinetic sampling thus avoids possible inertial effects of particulates approaching the vicinity of the inlet nozzle which may result in significant error.

The Apex Instruments test equipment was designed to meet the sampling requirements of US EPA Method 5 and with a modified nozzle design, meets the sampling requirements of BS EN 13284-1.

The principle of the standard is to draw a known volume of dust laden gas isokinetically through a filter. The weight gain on the filter, after sampling, divided by the gas sample volume equates to the particulate concentration, which in turn can be used to calculate a mass emission.

2.3 Sampling equipment

The test equipment is inspected prior to use and it's calibration status observed. This includes:-

- * Pitot Tube All pitot tubes are checked for damage, alignment and that there are no blockages;
- * Manometer Check of oil levels, connectors and orientation level;
- * Thermocouple Temperature is measured using k type thermocouples. Each thermocouple is inspected for calibration and damage. Digital temperature meters are used in conjunction with k type thermocouples which are also checked for calibration dates;
- * Gas meter The calibration of the gas meter is checked before and after sampling using a critical orifice.
- * Nozzles All nozzles used have been constructed in accordance with BS EN 13284-1. Each nozzle is checked for damaged and measured using a vernier caliper on at least 3 planes. Non conforming nozzles will be rejected.
- * Balance A Mettler Toledo balance is used to weigh filters. It is calibrated yearly by the manufacturer and checked daily by in-house weights.
- * Filters Quartz membrane filters with a collection efficieny of >99.5% at 0.3microns.

2.4 Preparation for sampling

2.4.1 Filter preparation

Filters are pre-conditioned before arrival on site. The filters are dried in an oven at 180°C for a period of at least one hour and then placed to cool in a dessicator for at least four hours. The filters are then weighed on a five figure balance and placed in individual transport containers. Spare Filters are prepared to obtain blank values.

2.4.2 Sampling location

No site visit was undertaken prior to undertaking the sampling procedure, as monitoring had previously been undertaken at the site, during which time the sampling position, working platform, sampling ports, access and safety precautions were found to be satisfactory.

The internal dimension of the flue was known from previous monitoring undertaken. However, further measurements were taken to check that the internal diamerter had not changed.

2.4.2 Sampling location - cont.

Prior to sampling a pressure and temperature survey, using a pitot static tube, a micromanometer, a digital thermometer and a nickel-chromium/nickel-aluminium thermocouple, is carried out to check whether the flow conditions meet with the requirements of BS EN 16911. From this initial survey sample locations, isokinetic flow rates, nozzle size, and sample period can be worked out.

2.5 Sample collection

A leak check is carried out before and after sampling to confirm all the suction is drawn through the nozzle.

With the required isokinetic flow rates known the sample probe is inserted into the stack at 90° to the gas flow, this is to stop any particulate matter impinging on the filter before sampling.

The filter head and probe were allowed to obtain the stack gas temperature.

The initial gas meter reading was noted and the suction device and timer started. The correct flow rate for isokinetic sampling was set and the nozzle positioned to face parallel to the gas flow.

Sampling was then carried out for the planned duration and number of sample points, recording all the necessary data for final calculations. On completion, the suction device and timer were stopped and the final gas meter volume recorded.

The probe was removed from the process stack and a further leak test carried out prior to removal of the filter, which was subsequently removed and placed in a storage container.

Any residual particulates upstream of the filter was washed with acetone into an appropriate beaker.

Repeat all of the above procedures to obtain duplicate samples.

At all times during the sampling procedure the sampling technicians were in contact with the process operator to ensure that the plant was in full production and there were no changes in the process that might affect the representative nature of the samples collected.

2.6 Analysis of samples

On returning to the laboratory, the used filters were dried in an oven at 160°C for a minimum of one hour and then desiccated and weighed as before. The water/acetone washings are first evaporated, without boiling, then dried and weighed as above. The total particulate mass is the sum of the differential filter weight added to the differential water/acetone rinsings component.

2.7 Calculation of results

The calculations were made using the formula specified in BS EN 13284-1.

The recorded filter weights, velocity, temperature, sampling duration and internal flue dimensions were then used to calculate:-

- * the mass rate of solids emission in kg/hr; and
- * the solids concentration in mg/m³.

3. QUALITY ASSURANCE

3.1 Location

SAMPLING LOCATION								
Determined	Value	Units	Requirement	Compliant	Method			
Lowest differential pressure	115	Pa	>= 5Pa	Yes	BS EN 15259			
Highest differential pressure	189	Pa	-	-				
Ratio of gas pressures	1.6	Pa	<9:1	Yes	BS EN 15259			
Mean gas velocity	16.4	m/s	-	-	-			
Temperature deviation	338	K	<10%	Yes	BS EN 13284			
Max angle of flow	<15	o	<15°	Yes	BS EN 15259			
No local negative flow	Yes	-	-	Yes	BS EN 15259			

Duct Details						
Value Units						
Shape	Circular	-				
Depth	0.90	m				
Width	-	m				
Area	0.64	m^2				
Port	0.08	m				

Duct Details				
	Isokinetic			
Sample port size	4" BSP			
Number of lines used	2			
Number of points/line	2			
Duct orientation	Vertical			
Filtration for TPM	In stack			

3.2 Methods

MONITORING METHODS						
Determined Method Technical						
Procedure						
TPM	Gravimetric	EL18				
H2O	Gravimetric	EL5				

3.3 Test Team

MONITORING TEAM							
Personnel MCERTS MCERTS TE/H&S Qualification						fications	
Number Level Expiry TE1 H&S							
Andrew Yelland	MM 02 130	MCERTS Level 2	Jun-18	Jun-18	Aug-18		
Ryan Carkeek							

4. ON-SITE SUMMARY/MEASURMENTS

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER SUMMARY							
Determined Sampling Times Concentration Uncertainty Limit							
		mg/m ³	mg/m ³	mg/m ³			
Run 1	13.35 - 14.07	9.62	0.31	50			
Run 2	14.15 - 14.47	11.13	0.31	50			
Blank	-	0.14	-	-			

FILTER SUMMARY							
Determined Filter No Filter Filter Acetone Combined							
		Start Weight g	Start Weight g	Rinse Weight g	Mass Gained g		
Run 1	Q10	0.05652	0.06142	0.00003	0.00493		
Run 2	Q11	0.05639	0.06208	0.00004	0.00573		
Blank	Q12	0.05652	0.05657	0.00002	0.00007		

STANDARD UNCERTAINTY SUMMARY					
Measured Quantities	Value	Standar	d uncertainty	Uncertainty %	Requirement of std
Sampled Volume - V _m	0.5925	uV_m	0.001 m^3	0.17	<=2% Pass
Sampled gas Temp - T _m	300	uTm	2 k	0.67	<=1% Pass
Sampled gas Pressure - pm	102.6	$u\rho_m$	0.5 kPa	0.49	<=1% Pass
Sampled gas Humidity - Hm	4.64	uH_m	1 % by vol	0.22	<=1% Pass
Oxygen content - O2,m	18.6	$uO_{2,m}$	0.1 % by vol	0.54	<=5% Pass
Mass particulate - m	4.93	um	0.01 mg	0.20	0.04 <5% of limit val
Note - Sampled gas humidity, ten	nperature a	nd pressure ar	e values at the gas i	meter	Pass
Leak - L	0.59		%	0.59	<=2% Pass
Uncollected Mass - UCM	0.07		mg	1.42	<=10% Pass
(Instack filter - no rinse)					

MOISTURE SUMMARY							
RUN Trap Difference Volume Bwo							
	Start Weight g						
Run 1	537.1	557.2	20.1	0.5125	4.64		
Run 2	535.6	554.7	19.1	0.5148	4.40		

4.1 Preliminary stack survey

On Site Velocity and Flow Data

Company	CPI MORTARS LTD	Stack Diameter	0.90	m
Site	29 MEAD PARK	Area	0.64	m^2
Location	AGGREGATE DRYING PLANT	Sample points required	4	
Job No	2799	Barometric Pressure	102.6	kPa
Operators	AJY/RJC	Stack Pressure	0.03	kPa
		Pitot Tube Coefficient	0.997	

Preliminary readings taken before sampling					
	Pitot	Traverse A	Pitot 7	Traverse B	
Pitot	ΔΡ	Temp	ΔΡ	Temp	
Settings	pa	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	pa	°C	
1	158	64	117	64	
2	154	65	126	64	
3	130	65	128	65	
4	125	65	122	65	
5	116	66	115	66	
6	118	66	125	66	
7	136	66	146	65	
8	140	66	169	65	
9	165	65	175	65	
10	172	65	189	64	
Mean	141	65	141	65	

4.2 Leak check results

PITOT LEAK CHECK						
	Pre	traverse leak rate		Post	traverse leak rate	
Run	Start value	End value	Difference	Start value	End value	Difference
	Pa	Pa	%	Pa	Pa	%
Run 1	100	100	Pass	100	100	Pass
Run 2	100	100	Pass	100	100	Pass

S-TYPE STAGNATION CHECK					
Run	Stagnation	Reference	Difference		
100.1	Pa	Pa	Permitted +/- 10 Pa		
Run 1	35	35	Pass		
Run 2	35	35	Pass		

SAMPLE TRAIN LEAK CHECK						
	Mean Sampling	Pre-sampling	Post-sampling	Accepable	Maximum	
Run	Rate	Leak Rate	Leak Rate	Leak Rate	Leak Rate	
	litres/min	litres/min	litres/min	litres/min	%	
Run 1	20.22	0.12	0.12	0.40	Yes	
Run 2	20.14	0.11	0.11	0.40	Yes	

SAMPLE TRAIN LEAK CHECK					
	Blank	Emission Limit	Acceptable Blank	Blank Value	
Run	Value	Value	Value	Acceptablre	
	mg/m ³	mg/m ³	mg/m ³	mg/m ³	
Blank 1	0.14	50	5	Yes	

5. SAMPLING RECORDS

5.1 Process Conditions

Arrestment Plant:	Bag filter
Particulate Type:	Sand
Plant Loading:	Continuous - Sand @ 35tph.
Appearance of plume:	No visible discharge.

5.2 Sampling Results

	Test Run No. 1.	Test Run No. 2.	Average
Time of Test:	13.35 - 14.07	14.15 - 14.47	
Sampling Duration: (mins)	32	32	
Gas Temperature (°C)	65	64	64
Mean Velocity at Sampling Points: (m/s)	17.16	17.10	17.13
Gas Flow Rate at STP (1): (m³/min)	511.6	512.0	511.8
Particulate Loading at STP (1): (mg/m³)	9.62	11.13	10.37
Particulate at Normalised Conditions (2): (mg/m³)			

⁽¹⁾ Particulate stated at 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour.

⁽²⁾ State normalised conditions (eg 11% O_2 , etc).

5.3 - Calculations Sample Run No. 1

On-site measurements

$$O2 = 18.6 \%$$
 $CO2 = 1.3 \%$ $N2 = 80.1 \%$ $Bws = 0.04$ $Ps = 102.6 \text{ kPa}$ $Ts = 337.8 \text{ K}$

$$Md = (0.44 \text{ x } \%CO_2) + (0.32 \text{ x } \%O_2) + (0.28 \text{ x } \%N_2)$$

= 28.95 g/g mole

Ms = Molecular weight of gas wet (g/g mole)

= 28.51 g/g mole

Stack gas velocity at sample points

$$V = Kp \times Cp \times \sqrt{(Ts.\Delta P/Ps.Ms)}$$
 $Kp = 4.07$
= 17.16 m/s $\Delta P = 155.0$ av. Δp at sample plane $Cp = 1.00$ pitot tube coefficient

Stack gas volume at sample points

$$Q = V \times A \times 60$$

$$= 655.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$$

$$A = 0.64 \text{ area of stack m}^2$$

Volume of water vapour collected, standard conditions (m³)

$$Vwstd = 0.00124 \text{ x Vlc}$$

$$Vlc = 20 \text{ ml}$$

$$= 0.0249 \text{ m}^3$$

Volume of gas metered, standard conditions (m³)

$$Vmstd = \underbrace{2.695 \text{ x Vm x } (Pa + (\Delta H/102)) \text{ x Yd}}_{(T + Tm)} \qquad Tm = 27 \text{ °C}$$

$$Vm = 0.5925 \text{ m}^{3}$$

$$Pa = 102.6 \text{ kPa}$$

$$\Delta H = 27.4 \text{ mm } H_{2}O$$

$$Yd = 0.936$$

Moisture content

$$Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd + Vmstd)$$
$$= 0.0464$$

5.3 - Calculations Sample Run No. 1 Cont.

Dry total flow of stack gas, standard conditions (m³/min)

Qstd =
$$Q \times Ps(2.695)(1 - Bwo)$$
 Ts = 64.8 °C
Ts +273 Ps = 102.6 kPa
= 512 m³/min

Percent isokinetic

$$\%I = (6.184 \times 10^5)(Ts + 273) \times Vmstd$$

$$Ps \times V \times Aa \times t \times (1-Bwo)$$

$$= 101.4 \%$$
Aa = 19.6 area of nozzle mm²

Filter & rinsing weights sample no. 1

weight gain on filters =	4.90 mg
weight of acetone wash =	0.03 mg
total weight gain (M) =	4.93 mg

Particulate concentration (mg/m³)

$$C = M/Vmstd M = 4.93 mg$$
$$= 9.62 mg/m3$$

Particulate emission rate (kg/hr)

$$E = (C \times Qstd \times 60)/10000000$$
= 0.30 kg/hr

5.3 - Calculations Sample Run No. 2

On-site measurements

$$O2 = 18.6 \%$$
 $CO2 = 1.3 \%$ $N2 = 80.1 \%$ $Bws = 0.05$ $Ps = 102.6 \text{ kPa}$ $Ts = 337.0 \text{ K}$

$$Md = (0.44 \text{ x } \%CO_2) + (0.32 \text{ x } \%O_2) + (0.28 \text{ x } \%N_2)$$

= 28.95 g/g mole

Ms = Molecular weight of gas wet (g/g mole)

= 28.44 g/g mole

Stack gas velocity at sample points

$$V = Kp \times Cp \times \sqrt{(Ts.\Delta P/Ps.Ms)}$$
 $Kp = 4.07$
= 17.10 m/s $\Delta P = 153.8$ av. Δp at sample plane $Cp = 1.00$ pitot tube coefficient

Stack gas volume at sample points

Volume of water vapour collected, standard conditions (m³)

$$Vwstd = 0.00124 \text{ x Vlc}$$

$$Vlc = 19 \text{ ml}$$

$$= 0.0237 \text{ m}^3$$

Volume of gas metered, standard conditions (m³)

$$Vmstd = \underbrace{2.695 \text{ x Vm x } (Pa + (\Delta H/102)) \text{ x Yd}}_{\text{(T + Tm)}} \qquad Tm = \underbrace{28 \text{ °C}}_{\text{Vm} = 0.5972 \text{ m}^3}$$

$$Pa = \underbrace{102.6 \text{ kPa}}_{\text{AH} = 27 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}}$$

$$Yd = \underbrace{0.936}_{\text{Vm} = 0.936}$$

Moisture content

$$Bwo = Vwstd/(Vwstd + Vmstd)$$
$$= 0.044$$

5.3 - Calculations Sample Run No. 2 Cont.

Dry total flow of stack gas, standard conditions (m³/min)

Percent isokinetic

$$\%I = (6.184 \times 10^5)(Ts + 273) \times Vmstd$$
 Aa = 19.6 area of nozzle mm²
Ps x V x Aa x t x (1-Bwo)
= 101.8 %

Filter & rinsing weights sample no. 2

weight gain on filters =	5.69 mg
weight of acetone wash =	0.04 mg
total weight gain (M) =	5.73 mg

Particulate concentration (mg/m³)

$$C = M/Vmstd M = 5.73 mg$$
$$= 11.13 mg/m3$$

Particulate emission rate (kg/hr)

$$E = (C \times Qstd \times 60)/10000000$$

= 0.34 kg/hr

5.4 - Sample Blank

An overall sample blank was taken after the measurement series, following the sampling procedure in the methodology without starting the suction device and keeping the blank in the duct for 15 minutes with the sampling nozzle 1800 from the direction of flow. This leads to an estimation of the dispersion of results related to the whole procedure.

weight gain on filters = 0.00005 mg

weight of acetone wash = 0.00002 mg

total weight gain (M) = 0.00007 mg

Particulate concentration (mg/m³)

$$C = M/Vmstd$$
 $M = 0.07 mg$

= 0.14 mg/m³

5.5 - Sampling Conditions

	Samj	ple Run N	No. 1	Sample Run No. 2		
Sample	Stack	Velocity	Nozzle	Stack	Velocity	Nozzle
Position	Temp °C	Pressure ΔP (Pa)	Area mm ²	Temp °C	Pressure ΔP (Pa)	Area mm ²
0.15D	65	154	19.6	63	157	19.6
0.85D	65	165	19.6	64	162	19.6
0.15D	64	126	19.6	65	123	19.6
0.85D	65	175	19.6	64	173	19.6

5.6 - Weighing Results

The below filters and acetone rinsings were weighed on a balance in a temperature controlled room with corrections made for differences in atmospheric pressure. Control parts and blank filters are used to confirm accuracy of weighings.

		Weight			Sample	%	
Sample			gms		time at each	weight	
Run No.1.	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)	gain	
Filter	Q10	0.05652	0.06142	0.00490	8.0	8.7%	
Acetone	A10	0.05641	0.05644	0.00003		0.1%	
		Tota	l weight =	0.00493			
			Weight		Sample		
Sample			gms		time at each		
Run No.2.	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)		
Filter	Q11	0.05639	0.06208	0.00569	8.0	10.1%	
Acetone	A11	0.05667	0.05671	0.00004		0.1%	
		Tota	l weight =	0.00573			
			Weight		Sample		
Sample			gms		time at each		
Blank	Ref No.	Before	After	Collected	point (mins)		
Filter	Q12	0.05652	0.05657	0.00005	n/a	0.1%	
Acetone	A12	0.05644	0.05646	0.00002	n/a	0.0%	
	Total weight = 0.00007						

6. Uncertainty calculation for EN 13284-1:2002

Sample Run No. 1

Limit value	50 mg.m ⁻³	O2 Ref	21	%	
Measured conc.	9.62 mg.m ⁻³				

Measurement Equation

$$c = \frac{m}{V} f_c$$

Measured Quantities	Symbol	Value	Standard u	ncertain	Units	Uncertainty %	6 R	equirement of std
Sampled Volume	$V_{\rm m}$	0.5925	uV_m	0.001	m^3	0.17		<=2%
Sampled gas Tem	T_{m}	300.0	uTm	2	k	0.67		<=1%
Sampled gas Pressure	ρ_{m}	102.6	$u\rho_{m} \\$	0.5	kPa	0.49		<=1%
Sampled gas Humidity	H_{m}	4.64	uH_m	1	% by vol	0.22		<=1%
Oxygen content	$O_{2,m}$	18.6	$uO_{2,m}$	0.1	% by vol	0.54		<=5%
Mass particulate	m	4.93	um	0.01	mg	0	0.04	<5% of limit val
Note - Sampled gas humid	lity, tempera	ature and pr	essure are va	lues at th	e gas mete	r		
Leak	L	0.59			%	0.59		<=2%
Uncollected Mass	UCM	0.07			mg	1.42		<=10%
(Instack filter - no rinse)								

Intermediate calculations

Factor for std conds	fs	0.86		
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff	u (in units of fs)	
	ρ_{m}	0.008	0.004	$(100 - H_{\odot}) 273 \circ 0$
	H_{m}	0.009	$0.009 \mid f_s = \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{(100 - H_m)}{100} \frac{273}{T_m} \frac{\rho_m}{101.3}$
	T_{m}	0.003	0.006	
	ufs		0.012	1.33
Corrected volume	V	0.51	$uV = 0.007 \text{ m}^3$	$V = V_m f_s \qquad 1.35$
Factor for O2 correction	fc	1.00		
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff	u	$_{f} = 21 - O_{2,ref}$
	$O_{2,m}$	0.42	0.042	$J_c - \frac{1}{21 - O_{2,m}}$
Factor for O2 Corr	ufc	1.00	0.042	1.00

Parameter	Uncertainty	Value Units	ens coeff U	ncertainty in Result	Uncertainty as %
Volume(stp)	V	0.51 m^3	18.77	0.13 mg.m^{-3}	1.35 %
Mass	m	4.93 mg	1.95	$0.02~\mathrm{mg.m}^{-3}$	0.20
Factor for O2 Correction	n fc	1.00	0.00	0.00 mg.m^{-3}	0.00 %
Leak	L	$0.03~\mathrm{mg.m}^{-3}$	1.00	0.03 mg.m^{-3}	
Uncollected mass	UCM	0.04 mg	1.95	0.08 mg.m^{-3}	
Combined uncertainty				0.16 mg.m ⁻³	

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%

3.26 %

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%

0.31 mg.m⁻³

6. Uncertainty calculation for EN 13284-1:2002

Sample Run No. 2

Limit value	50 mg.m ⁻³	O2 Ref	21	%	
Measured conc.	11.13 mg.m ⁻³				

Measurement Equation

$$c = \frac{m}{V} f_c$$

Measured Quantities	Symbol	Value	Standard u	ncertain	Units	Uncertainty	% F	Requirement of std
Sampled Volume	$V_{\rm m}$	0.5972	uV_m	0.001	m^3	0.17		<=2%
Sampled gas Tem	T_{m}	301.0	uTm	2	k	0.66		<=1%
Sampled gas Pressure	ρ_{m}	102.6	$u\rho_{m} \\$	0.5	kPa	0.49		<=1%
Sampled gas Humidity	H_{m}	4.40	uH_m	1	% by vol	0.23		<=1%
Oxygen content	$O_{2,m}$	18.6	$uO_{2,m}$	0.1	% by vol	0.54		<=5%
Mass particulate	m	5.73	um	0.01	mg	0	0.04	4 <5% of limit val
Note - Sampled gas humid	ity, tempera	ature and pr	essure are va	alues at th	e gas mete	er		
Leak	L	0.55			%	0.55		<=2%
Uncollected Mass	UCM	0.07			mg	1.22		<=10%
(Instack filter - no rinse)								

Intermediate calculations

Factor for std conds	fs	0.86		
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff	u (in units of fs)	
	ρ_{m}	0.008	0.004	$\frac{100 - H}{100}$
	H_{m}	0.009	$0.009 \mid f_s = \frac{C}{2}$	$\frac{100 - H_m}{100} \frac{273}{T_m} \frac{\rho_m}{101.3}$
	T_{m}	0.003	0.006	
	ufs		0.011	1.33
Corrected volume	V	0.51	$uV = 0.007 \text{ m}^3$	$V = V_m f_s \qquad 1.35$
Factor for O2 correction	fc	1.00		
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff	u	$_{c}$ 21- $O_{2,ref}$
	$O_{2,m}$	0.42	0.042	$J_c = \frac{1}{21 - O_{2,m}}$
Factor for O2 Corr	ufc	1.00	0.042	1.00

Parameter	Uncertainty	Value Units	ens coeff U	ncertainty in Result	Uncertainty as %
Volume(stp)	V	0.51 m^3	21.62	0.15 mg.m^{-3}	1.35 %
Mass	m	5.73 mg	1.94	0.02 mg.m^{-3}	0.17
Factor for O2 Correction	n fc	1.00	0.00	0.00 mg.m^{-3}	0.00 %
Leak	L	0.04 mg.m^{-3}	1.00	0.04 mg.m^{-3}	
Uncollected mass	UCM	0.00 mg	1.94	0.00 mg.m^{-3}	
Combined uncertainty				0.16 mg.m ⁻³	

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%

2.79 %

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%

0.31 mg.m⁻³