

05 September 2019

Dear Wendy

Thank you for sending this through.

We are most grateful for the amendments to paragraph 4.1. Thank you.

A few remaining points on the rest of the SOCG that I think we had discussed previously.

I note that there are a number of changes that we suggested in our e-mail and attachment dated 6th June that still need to be included in the revised SOCG. Please refer back to that e-mail/document. I have attached the tracked changes version from that date for ease of reference. Perhaps a phone conversation to talk these through might help.

The following minor issues will also need to be addressed:

- Appendix 1 Ref 9 - In the definition for RPGs Currently reads Registered Park and Gardens - Should either read Registered Parks and Gardens or Registered Park and Garden
HDC Response – The Modifications Schedule has been updated and the Statement of Common Ground will update this too.
- Appendix 2 Ref 1 - We note the proposed additional bullet point m. However, as previously discussed, where you say 'considerably outweigh' this is not quite the same test as the NPPF. Please amend to more closely reflect the NPPF.

HDC Response - This text, which is in the Draft Modifications Schedule, replicates Epping Forest Council's wording in their policy for the East of Harlow site to ensure consistency. We do not think it is inconsistent with the 2012 NPPF and the principle of HIAs required prior to an allocation is still the objection maintained by HE. Therefore we do not consider changing this text which would then be inconsistent with Epping Forest Council will resolve HE's main issue.

- Appendix 2 Ref 3 - Delete Para 4 and hyperlink as discussed given it is not relevant.
HDC Response – The Council still considers this relevant for Harlow's position and will be maintaining it in the SoCG.
- Appendix 3 Section 1 para i. insert 'prior to allocation' after Heritage Impact Assessments
HDC Response – This will be included in the SoCG for clarity.
- Appendix 3 Section 2 The table appears to be a little bit confusing – some of the titles are underlined, some are not. On the second page there is some strikethrough text which presumably needs to be removed. Also the Aecom extract is separated from the HE advice to Aecom. These might sit better together.

HDC Response – Formatting only

- Finally page numbers would be helpful.

HDC Response – Formatting only

I hope the above is clear. Once these minor details are ironed out, hopefully we will be in a position to sign.

Many thanks for all your work on this. I am in the office tomorrow (Friday) and again on Monday and look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

Debbie

Mrs Debbie Mack BA MSc MRTPI
Historic Environment Planning Adviser